2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

NOT FOR CITATION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EUREKA DIVISION

LINO NSHIMBA,

No. CV 10-2982 RS (NJV)

13

Plaintiff,

ORDER REOUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL

14

LOWE'S HOME IMPROVEMENT WAREHOUSE, INC., et al.,

(Docket Nos. 23 & 30)

Defendants.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

On May 6, 2011, Defendant Lowe's Home Improvement Warehouse, Inc. filed a motion to compel Plaintiff Lino Nshimba to respond to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents, verify his interrogatory responses, and to produce responsive documents. Doc. No. 23. The district court referred Defendant's motion to compel and all future discovery disputes to this Court for determination. Doc. No. 26. Plaintiff did not file an opposition to Defendant's motion to compel.

On May 19, 2011, the Court ordered counsel for all parties to engage in an in-person meet and confer by June 3, 2011 regarding the pending discovery dispute. On May 24, 2011, Defendant filed a second motion to compel the same responses as its first motion to compel. Doc. No. 30. Defendant indicates that the parties discussed the discovery dispute in detail on May 12, 2011 and that Plaintiff agreed to supplement his responses. Doc. Nos. 30, 31.

2345678

1

101112131415

9

17 18

16

1920

2122

2324

2526

27

28

On June 2, 2011, counsel for Defendant informed the Court that the parties were unable to engage in an in-person meet and confer by June 3, 2011, due in part to the unavailability of Plaintiff's counsel through June 15, 2011. On June 3, 2011, the Court ordered counsel for all parties to engage in the previously ordered in-person meet and confer regarding the pending discovery dispute by close of business June 20, 2011, and to file a single joint letter regarding the status of the in-person meet and confer by close of business June 23, 2011.

On June 14, 2011, Defendant filed a notice of Plaintiff's non-opposition to its motion to compel. In its notice, Defendant indicates that Plaintiff previously agreed to supplement his responses but has not yet done so. Defendant also indicates that Plaintiff has not responded to its attempts to meet and confer per this Court's orders.

To date, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to either of Defendant's motions to compel and his response is long overdue. Plaintiff is ordered to file his opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motions to compel by close of business June 17, 2011. The failure to do so will result in the submission of Defendant's motions to compel on the papers and the June 28, 2011 hearing date will be vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2011

NANDOR J. VADAS

United States Magistrate Judge